Subsidy/Incentives for Installation of Hand Pumps

1799. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA:
SHRI M. A. BABY:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Central/State Government Agencies are giving any financial assistance, incentives, subsidies, etc. to the beneficiaries for installation of the Indian Mark II handpumps in Urban and Semi-Urban areas particularly in J. J. Colonies, Jhuggi Jhonpri clusters, slum areas, labour bastis and such areas where water supply is inadequate and in remote areas where clean drinking water supply is not available;

(b) what are the names and address of the approved manufacturing units of the Indian Mark II handpump and manufacturers sales price fixed for purchase by various agencies/State Government; and

(c) the Government estimate target for installation of the Indian Mark II handpumps' during the Eighth Five Year Plan period?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) There is no Central assistance programme for giving financial assistance, incentives, subsidies, etc. to the beneficiaries for installation of Indian Mark II hand pumps in urban and semi-urban areas. However, the Central Government has taken a number of measures to promote small scale industries taking up manufacture of various products including Indian Mark II hand pumps in the country. These measures include provision of institutional support, concessional finance, excise benefits, marketing support, etc. The assistance given by the State Governments is not monitored at the Central level.

(b) A list is enclosed at Statement. [*See* Appendix 159, Annexure No. 52]. The price per handpump varies from State to State, but generally falls in the range of Rs. 2600 to Rs. 2700 without the raiser pumps.

(c) Does not arise at present as the 8th Five Year Plan is yet to be finalised.

Consignment agents and whole seller for Dhara Oil

1800. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) the names and address of the consignment agents and whole sellers appointed by the National Dairy Development Board for marketing/distribution of edible oils under brand names of 'DHARA' in Union Territory of Delhi; and

(b) what are the terms of appointment, area of distribution, rates of commission, distribution norms for 'DHARA' edible oils by whole sellers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI MULLAPPALLY RAMACHANDRAN): (a) and (b) NDDB has appointed the Gujarat Cooperative Milk Marketing Federation Ltd., Anand, Gujarat, as the sole selling agent to manage the marketing and distribution of the Dhara brand of edible oils in the Union Territory of Delhi. Gujarat Cooperative Milk Marketing Federation Ltd., (GCMMF) has been appointed as the sole selling agent of Dhara to take advantage of the established National Distribution Network of GCMMF which consists of 22 sales depots, 80 wholesale dealers and 1,50,000 retailers. For Dhara GCMMF in turn bills the wholesale dealers on the same terms and conditions as that for GCMMF's dairy products.

Monopoly in submission of Tenders invited by Delhi Development Authority

1801. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that various goondas/Mafia gangs are hired by the

contractors to prevent the submission of tenders for various civil works of Road construction etc. by the contractor in the Rohini and other division of Delhi Development Authority and even the Delhi Development Authority officials are helpless and cannot prevent this illegal criminal activities;

(b) whether it is also a fact hat police officials do not provide any protection against these goondas who openly threaten the contractors and the staff; and

(c) if so. what remedial steps Government propose to take in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM) : (a) and (b) DDA has reported that they are aware of this problem and have taken remedial measures to check the nuisance created by anti-social elements. Police protection is provided in the office premises at the time of submission and opening of tenders.

(c) Measures taken by DDA in Rohini and some other zones are as under :—

(i) Centralised sale of tenders has been introduced.

(ii) Tender documents alongwith printed envelops are being issued to the contractors to submit the tender on any day in advance without waiting for the last day for acceptance of tenders.

(iii) Contractors are allowed to send their tenders by Registered Post.

(iv) Police Protection is sought on the day of opening of tenders.

इन्द्रलोक, दिल्ली के आवंटितियों के सम्पत्ति अधिकार

1802. **श्री मोहम्मद अफजल उर्फ सीम अफजल)** : क्या शहरी विकास मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि क्या यह सच है कि दिल्ली में 1976 में सराय खलील से विस्थापित होकर डी०डी०ए० की इन्द्र लोक कालोनी में पुनर्वास किए गए लोगों को अब तक सम्पत्ति के अधिकार नहीं दिए गए हैं और यदि हां, तो सरकार इस संबंध मे क्या कदम उठा रही है?

शहरी विकास मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम० अरुणाचलम) : स्लम उन्मूलन योजना के अंतर्गत इन्द्र लोक सहित दिल्ली में बनाए गए टैनिमेंटों के संबंध में चिरस्थाई पट्टाधिकार देने के लिए सरकार ने 11-6-1984 को आदेश जारी किए थे। जिन आंवटितियो/दखलकारों ने इस आदेश के अनुसरण में पट्टाधिकारों के लिए आवेदन किया था, उनको टेनिमन्टों की कीमत के भुगतान हेतु मांग नोटिस जारी किए गए थे। आवटितियों ने भुगतान लागत के विरुद्ध अभ्यावेदन दिया है। यह सरकार के विचाराधीन है।

Violation of Assistant Labour Commissioner's Orders by State Bank of Indore

1803. SHRI RAM NARESH YADAV: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that *status-quo* orders were issued by the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), C 1, Hutment Dalhousie Road, New Delhi on the 4th June, 1985 vide file No. 7|37 Con./85 and delivered to the Branch Manager of the State Bank of Indore, Chandni Chowk, Delhi Branch on the 4th June, 1985 and 10th June, 1985;

(b) if so, the reasons for not complyign these *status-quo* orders by the management of the Bank till settlement;

(c) whether it is also a fact that subsequent instructions of the Assistant Labour Commissioner regarding granting special leave during the pendency of the dispute were also not obeyed by the Bank authority; and

(d) if so, what action have been taken by Government against Bank management for defying the orders of the Assistant Labour Commissioner to maintain *status-quo* grant of special leave till the settlement of the case?